

Imperfect Progress: The Chair's Role in Navigating Conflicts

Authors:

Leda Stawnychko & Ean Tsou

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper. This research was conducted independently and has not been influenced by any external organization. There were no financial or personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately bias this work. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of this article.

Imperfect Progress: The Department Chair's Role in Navigating Conflicts

Department chairs often step into their roles without a roadmap for one of its most daunting challenges: conflict management. With little to no formal training, many chairs find themselves facing situations that carry heavy implications for faculty careers and student futures alike. Whether its supporting faculty who are concerned about career-defining issues or students anxious about completing their degrees, the stakes are invariably high. Additionally, dissent between faculty members or conflicts involving the chair's own agenda often present dilemmas with no clear-cut resolutions. What can be done when the rulebook falls short?

Embrace sub-optimal solutions

In cases where values do not cleanly align, the complex dynamics at play can cause the traditional avenues of communication and negotiation to fail. When the conflict has ground to an immovable halt, department chairs may need to look beyond conventional wisdom and seek sub-optimal solutions. While this term may sound defeatist at first, sub-optimal solutions are in fact a pragmatic approach to conflict resolution, offering a viable path forward when a perfect outcome is out of reach.

Consider, for example, the delicate balance when addressing student-supervisor conflicts. By the time such disagreements escalate to the department chair, faculty may feel their trust has been breached, and students may feel cornered and without support. A chair described this particular conundrum: 'If the relationship ends, they [the students] may not be able to finish their degree... You have to find the best of the sub-optimal situations... and hope for the best.' In such cases, finding a compromise that allows a workable relationship between student and faculty member, even if it's not ideal, becomes essential for progress.

Long-standing faculty disputes, perhaps simmering for years before reaching the chair's desk, present a similar quandary. Faced with uncertain histories and limited fresh options available for the future, the chair's role shifts from finding optimal solutions to making the best of what's possible. In these intractable scenarios, the wisdom lies in embracing sub-optimal resolutions without delay or remorse. This approach isn't about conceding defeat but about navigating the complexities of human relationships within what is possible. It's about finding a middle ground where progress, however imperfect, can be made.

Encourage Reflective Practices

Reflective practice continues to be a beacon in the murky waters of conflict management. Being reflective is not just about looking inward; it's a strategic tool that enables department chairs to navigate the personal and interpersonal complexities of their roles. Engaging in reflective practices enables chairs to dissect conflicts, understand the motivations of all parties involved, and identify underlying issues that may not be immediately apparent. This introspection is a step towards cultivating empathy and patience, qualities that are indispensable for anyone faced with managing long-term, intricate disputes.

Creating a space for reflection means allowing chairs to step back and view the conflict from a broader perspective. It's about asking probing questions: How did we get here? What dynamics are at play? What are the possible unintended consequences of my actions? This level of inquiry encourages chairs to think beyond the immediacy of the conflict and consider the long-term health of the department. It is through this lens that chairs can begin to see the value in compromises that may initially seem unsatisfactory but serve the greater good over time.

Ultimately, encouraging reflective practices is about fostering a culture of thoughtful leadership within the department. It is a call to shift from a reactive stance to one that proactively facilitates discussion and cooperation. When chairs embody this reflective approach, they model for their faculty and students a way of engaging with challenges that is constructive and forward-thinking. Through reflective practices, chairs can transform the very nature of conflict in academia, treating it not as an obstacle but as an opportunity for growth and learning.

Prioritize Emotional Intelligence

Departmental leadership calls for more than day-to-day decision-making; it requires leaders to be keenly aware of emotions—both their own and those of others. Prioritizing emotional intelligence is key to navigating the turbulent waters of academic conflicts. A department chair with high emotional intelligence can recognize the emotional states of faculty and students, interpret these emotions, and adjust their approach accordingly. This awareness can defuse tensions and foster a more collaborative environment before they escalate.

Emotional intelligence also equips chairs with the tools to manage their own responses in challenging situations. Some of the most important skills in their toolbox include remaining calm under pressure, providing support without enabling dependency, and delivering feedback in productive ways. This balance is critical when looking to resolve conflicts that may not have a clear resolution, such as navigating departmental politics or addressing personal grievances. By being emotionally attuned, chairs can lead with compassion and empathy, making difficult conversations more productive and less contentious.

Moreover, emotional intelligence is not a static trait. It is a skill that can be developed and honed over time. Department chairs can improve their emotional intelligence in several

ways. They can seek honest feedback and engage in conversations with a focused goal of understanding rather than responding. Chairs can also exercise mindfulness, paying attention to thoughts, feelings, and sensations for reflection later on. These practices can not only enhance the chair's ability to manage conflicts but also contribute to building a positive culture in their department. When chairs demonstrate emotional intelligence, they set a standard for others to follow, creating a transformative ripple effect.

The department chair's journey is one marked by continuous learning and adaptation. The insights discussed here—embracing sub-optimal solutions, encouraging reflective practices, and prioritizing emotional intelligence—constitute a triad of strategies that can guide chairs through their practice. While there may not be a one-size-fits-all solution to the conflicts and complexities inherent in the academic environment, these approaches offer a starting point. By accepting the imperfections of the process and outcomes, department chairs can lead with realism and optimism. Such leadership not only supports navigating conflict with grace but also inspires a culture of collegiality and resilience in the academic community. As we forge ahead, let us remember that the measure of our success is not found in the absence of conflict but in our ability to grow, adapt, and emerge stronger through our efforts to navigate through it.

This article was published as Stawnychko, L., & Tsou, E. (2024, June 22). Imperfect progress: The Chair's role in navigating conflicts. *The Department Chair*, 35(1), 1-3.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/dch.30585>. Readers with access can view the final published version via the publisher.